UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,370	06/15/2005	Neil A Hankin	GB 020246	4268
24737 7590 10/04/2007 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			SYED, NABIL H	
BRIARCLIFF	CLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2612		
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• 14.1						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/539,370	HANKIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nabil H. Syed	2612			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the triple and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 June 2005</u> .					
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 2	333 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2005</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	D⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmer	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail				

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of the trademark term "ZigBee" renders the claim indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims1-5, 7-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmitz (6,078,908).

As of claim 1, Schmitz discloses a method for authenticating an exchange of radio identifiers in a system having a device (via a computer 2; see fig. 2) and a remote control unit (via a data input apparatus 1; see fig. 2), both of which operate in compliance with a predetermined radio protocol, comprising: exchanging respective radio identifiers (via user sending identification through the apparatus 1; see col. 6, lines 58-62), generating a key sequence to be input for authentication (via computer 2 generating the transaction authorization number TAN (key sequence); see col. 6, liens 63-67), issuing a request to a user for key sequence

Application/Control Number: 10/539,370

Art Unit: 2612

to be input (via sending the TAN to the user through the receiver 3; see col. 7, lines 1-4; also see fig. 1); authenticating the input key sequence with that generated (via user entering the TAN number and the computer matching the number with the sent TAN; see col. 7, lines 4-8), and storing the remote control unit identifier to enable control in dependence on authentication (via computer 2 verifying the transaction authorization number TAN and establish a connection between data input apparatus 1 and the receiver 4; see col. 7, lines 10-15; also see fig. 2).

As of claim 2 and 3, Schmitz discloses that the transaction authorization number Tan (key sequence) is randomly generated in the computer 2 (device) (see col. 2 lines 65 through col. 3, lines 1).

As of claim 4, Schmitz discloses that the computer 2 sends the transaction authorization number TAN (key sequence) request through a receiver 3 to the user, wherein the receiver 3 comprises a display (see col. 3, lines 7-9).

As of claim 5, Schmitz discloses that the transaction authorization number TAN (key sequence) request is output on an audio speaker (see col. 4, lines 40-46; also see fig. 1).

As of claim 7, Schmitz discloses that the transaction authorization number is obtained via the input apparatus 1 (remote control) (see col. 7, lines 4-8);

As of claim 8, Schmitz discloses that the authorization signal (key sequence) must be input within a predefined time period (see col. 1, lines 66 through col. 2, lines 1-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,370

Art Unit: 2612

As of claim 9, it is a system using the method of claim 1 so it is rejected as claim 1 above.

As of claim 10, Schmitz discloses a audiovisual means by which the key sequence request is issued to a user (see col. 3, lines 7-9; also see col. 4, lines 40-46).

As of claim 12, Schmitz discloses that the transaction authorization number TAN (key sequence) is received via a radio receiver 3 (see col. 7, lines 60-64)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitz (6,078,908) in view of Yue (US Pub 2004/0203354).

As of claim 6 and 11, Schmitz discloses all the limitation of the claimed invention as mentioned in claimed 1 and 9 above, but fails to explicitly disclose that the key sequence is input via input means of the device.

Yue disclose a remote access device communication system wherein, Yue teaches that a user communicate with an ATM (device) using a PDA (remote control),

Application/Control Number: 10/539,370

Art Unit: 2612

the user enters the PIN number on the PDA, and then he/she is prompted for a confirmation number (key sequence) via a keypad on the ATM (device).

From the teaching of Yue it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the communication system of Schmitz to include the step of inputting the key sequence via input means of the device as taught by Yue in order to complete the initiation process for pairing the device and the remote control and further enhancing the security of the system because the user would not want to commence the transaction with the ATM unless the user were at the locale of the ATM (see paragraph [0006], lines 10-14).

6. Claim13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitz (6,078,908) in view of Dresti et al. (US Pub 2003/0103088).

As of claim 13, Schmitz discloses all the limitation of the claimed invention as mentioned in claim 9 above but fails to explicitly disclose that the communication protocol used in the system is a ZigBee radio protocol.

Dresti disclose that a remote control system which uses the ZigBee protocol to communicate between the remote control and electronic devices (see paragraph [0097]).

From the teaching of Dresti it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the communication system of Schmitz to use the ZigBee protocol as taught by Dresti, since it was well known in the art that different radio protocol like, Bluetooth, 802.11 or Zigbee could be used for radio communication.

Art Unit: 2612

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabil H. Syed whose telephone number is 571-270-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 alt Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery A. Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nabil H Syed Examiner Art Unit 2612

N.S

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY/CENTER 2600